

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America

v.

Jared William Pardue

Date of Original Judgment: 06/26/2020

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: \_\_\_\_\_

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 5:20-cr-21-KDB-DCK-1USM No: 28506-058Elizabeth Freeman Greene

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,


**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 180 months **is reduced to** 161 months.

(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated 06/26/2020 shall remain in effect.**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: January 29, 2024

  
 Kenneth D. Bell  
 United States District Judge

Effective Date: February 9, 2024  
 (if different from order date)

Kenneth D. Bell  
 Printed name and title

**This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal.**  
**(Not for Public Disclosure)**

DEFENDANT: Jared William PardueCASE NUMBER: 5:20-cr-21-KDB-DCK-1DISTRICT: Western District of North Carolina**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)**Previous Total Offense Level: 32Amended Total Offense Level: 32Criminal History Category: IVCriminal History Category: IIIPrevious Guideline Range: 168 to 210 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 151 to 188 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

- ☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assistance departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.

**III. FACTORS CONSIDERED UNDER USSG § 1B1.10 AND 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) (See *Chavez-Meza v. United States*, 138 S.Ct. 1959 (2018))**

Defendant had “status points” under U.S.S.G. §4A1.1 in Amendment 821. Defendant had 6 criminal history points before the addition of the 2 status points. (Doc. No. 29, ¶ 67). With the removal of the 2 status points, criminal history points of 6 equals a criminal history category III. With an Offense Level of 32 and a criminal history category III, the sentencing guideline range becomes 151-188 months imprisonment. The Court imposed a sentence 14.285% below the high end of the range for a sentence of 180 months. Applying the same reduction to the high end of the amended range, yields a sentence of 161 months. Furthermore, he has had no incident reports while in prison and is taking advantage of education and programming courses. (Doc. No. 37, Exhibit 1). There is no need for an appointment of counsel.